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ABSTRACT

Background We aimed to evaluate whether major depressive disorder (MDD) could aggravate the outcomes of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) or whether the genetic liability to COVID-19 could trigger MDD. Aims We aimed to assess bidirectional causal associations between MDD and COVID-19. Methods We performed genetic correlation and Mendelian randomisation (MR) analyses to assess potential associations between MDD and three COVID-19 outcomes. Literature-based network analysis was conducted to construct molecular pathways connecting MDD and COVID-19. Results We found that MDD has positive genetic correlations with COVID-19 outcomes (r = 0.10–0.15). Our MR analysis indicated that genetic liability to MDD is associated with increased risks of COVID-19 infection (odds ratio (OR)=1.05, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.00 to 1.10, p=0.039). However, genetic liability to the three COVID-19 outcomes did not confer any causal effects on MDD. Pathway analysis identified a panel of immunity-related genes that may mediate the links between MDD and COVID-19. Conclusions Our study suggests that MDD may increase the susceptibility to COVID-19. Our findings emphasise the need to increase social support and improve mental health intervention networks for people with mood disorders during the pandemic.

INTRODUCTION

Since the inception of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), many risk factors have been revealed, including obesity, hypertension, diabetes and smoking.1–6 Meanwhile, the neurological pathogenesis of COVID-19 remarkably threatens the mental health of affected individuals. Various COVID-19 outcomes cause an elevated risk for mental stress and mental disorders.7, 8 After the acute phase of COVID-19, some patients develop lingering symptoms, such as pulmonary dysfunction, muscle exertion and mood changes that persist for months. These postrecovery symptoms are called ‘long COVID-19 syndrome’ and commonly include depression, anxiety and cognitive impairment.9–14 These psychopathological sequelae of COVID-19 are both from an improper immune response to the virus and neuroinflammation caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection and augmented by disease-related psychological stressors.15, 16 Major depressive disorder (MDD) is a common mood disorder that causes persistent sadness and loss of interest. Changes in various proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines are critical in the pathogenesis of MDD.17 Pre-existing depression symptoms may impact the outcomes of SARS-CoV-2 infection, which corresponds with higher rates of symptomatic disease, hospitalisation or death.18 The prolonged release of proinflammatory cytokines in COVID-19 may inhibit the activity of glucocorticoid receptors in the hippocampus and increase the levels of reactive oxygen species, resulting in the propagation of a vicious cycle between MDD and COVID-19.19

Until now, associations reported in observational studies have shown weak evidence for the causal associations between MDD and COVID-19, and the relationship between the two remains somewhat debatable. Therefore, in-depth studies are urgently needed to identify the relationship between MDD and COVID-19 and elucidate the mechanisms behind their interactions. The Mendelian randomisation (MR) method can be used to explore causal associations between traits.20–23

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

⇒ Observational studies have reported that coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is associated with a higher risk of depressive symptoms and major depressive disorder (MDD).

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

⇒ MDD was associated with a 5% increased risk for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE OR POLICY

⇒ It is necessary to improve mental health interventions for people with mood disorders during the pandemic.
We tested genetic relationships between MDD and three COVID-19 outcomes (SARS-CoV-2 infection, COVID-19 hospitalisation and critical COVID-19) using genetic correlation analysis, the MR framework and literature-based network analysis.

**METHODS**

**Data sources and study design**

The design of the study is described in figure 1. Genome-wide association study (GWAS) summary datasets of MDD and COVID-19 were analysed. The MDD dataset included 246,363 cases and 561,190 controls. Three datasets on COVID-19 were obtained from the Host Genetic Initiative GWAS meta-analyses (round 7), including SARS-CoV-2 infection (122,616 cases and 2,475,240 controls), hospitalised COVID-19 (32,519 cases and 2,062,805 controls) and critical COVID-19 (13,769 cases and 1,072,442 controls). All participants were of European origin. In GWAS, the label ‘SARS-CoV-2 infection’ represents the overall likelihood of contracting the virus, whereas ‘hospitalised COVID-19’ and ‘critical COVID-19’ reflect the severity of the disease. Therefore, both hospitalised COVID-19 and critical COVID-19 were called ‘severe COVID-19’ in this study.

**Genetic correlation analysis**

We evaluated the genetic correlations between MDD and the COVID-19 outcomes using linkage disequilibrium (LD) score regression. The 1,000 Genome Project phase III of the European population was leveraged to infer the LD structure.

**Statistical analysis for MR**

In the TwoSampleMR package (V.0.5.6), causal associations were evaluated with the inverse variance weighting (IVW) model along with the weighted median (WM) and MR-Egger as complementary measures ensuring sensitivity. While the WM and MR-Egger models are less

**Figure 1** Flowchart of the study. COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; IV, instrumental variable; MDD, major depressive disorder; MR, Mendelian randomisation; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; SNPs, single-nucleotide polymorphisms.
statistically powerful than the IVW model, they perform in more robust fashion in cases of horizontal pleiotropy or invalid instruments. Here, potential horizontal pleiotropy was evaluated by examining the intercept from the MR-Egger regression, and heterogeneity by Cochran’s Q test and $I^2$ statistics ($p<0.05$ and $I^2>0.25$).

For each exposure phenotype, genome-wide significant single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with MDD ($p<5\times 10^{-8}$) were pruned by a clumping $r^2$ value of 0.01 within a 10 Mb window and used as instrumental variables (IVs).

**Knowledge-based analysis**

To explore the potential connection between MDD and COVID-19 at the molecular level, we used the Pathway Studio environment, allowing one to perform large-scale literature data mining in the automated mode. After a manual review of the results for quality control, we constructed a set of molecular pathways connecting MDD and COVID-19, along with their downstream targets and upstream regulators. More details were described previously. In this study, the moleculesgenes connecting MDD and COVID-19 were called ‘mediating moleculesgenes’.

Protein–protein interactions (PPIs) were detected using STRING V.11. Enrichment analyses of the candidate genes were run on a Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG)-based pathway using functional mapping and annotation of genetic associations (FUMA).

### RESULTS

**Genetic correlation analysis**

As shown in Table 1, genetic correlation analyses indicated that MDD had positive genetic correlations with SARS-CoV-2 infection ($r_g=0.10$ $(0.04)$, $p=0.007$), hospitalised COVID-19 ($r_g=0.15$ $(0.03)$, $p<0.001$) and critical COVID-19 ($r_g=0.10$ $(0.03)$, $p=0.003$).

**MR analysis**

In the MR analysis of the causal effects of MDD on the COVID-19 outcomes, a total of 97 IVs were derived from the MDD dataset. We found that genetic liability to MDD was associated with an increased risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection (odds ratio (OR)=1.05, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.00 to 1.10, $p=0.039$) (Table 2 and figure 2). There was no solid evidence for the causal effect of MDD on COVID-19 hospitalisation (OR=1.07, 95% CI: 0.97 to 1.18, $p=0.175$) and critical COVID-19 (OR=1.09, 95% CI: 0.92 to 1.28, $p=0.306$).

In the MR analysis of the causal effects of the COVID-19 outcomes on MDD, the numbers of IVs were 20 for SARS-CoV-2 infection, 36 for hospitalised COVID-19 and 36 for critical COVID-19 (Table 3). We found that the genetic liability to the COVID-19 outcomes was not associated with the risk of MDD, including SARS-CoV-2 infection (OR=1.01, 95% CI: 0.97 to 1.05, $p=0.691$), COVID-19 hospitalisation (OR=1.00, 95% CI: 0.98 to 1.02, $p=0.955$) and critical COVID-19 (OR=1.00, 95% CI: 0.99 to 1.01, $p=0.859$).

The MR-Egger regression test did not support the existence of pleiotropy of genetic instrument variables in all the MR analyses (online supplemental tables 1 and 2). The sensitivity analysis showed that the causal effect estimates between the IVW and WM methods were the same, while the MR-Egger model showed differences with the other two models in some MR tests. The Cochran Q test and $I^2$ statistic did not support the existence of heterogeneity in the causal effects of MDD on SARS-CoV-2 infection and hospitalised COVID-19 but suggested possible heterogeneity in the causal effect of MDD on critical COVID-19 ($p=0.001$, $I^2=0.341$).

### Table 1 Genetic correlations between MDD and COVID-19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait 1</th>
<th>Trait 2</th>
<th>$r_g$ (SE)</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>SARS-CoV-2 infection</td>
<td>0.10 (0.04)</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>Hospitalised COVID-19</td>
<td>0.15 (0.03)</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>Critical COVID-19</td>
<td>0.10 (0.03)</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 Causal effects of MDD on COVID-19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>$b$ (SE)</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>SARS-CoV-2 infection</td>
<td>IVW</td>
<td>0.047 (0.023)</td>
<td>1.05 (1.00 to 1.10)</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>SARS-CoV-2 infection</td>
<td>WM</td>
<td>0.038 (0.030)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.98 to 1.10)</td>
<td>0.203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>SARS-CoV-2 infection</td>
<td>MR-Egger</td>
<td>0.126 (0.127)</td>
<td>1.13 (0.88 to 1.46)</td>
<td>0.325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>Hospitalised COVID-19</td>
<td>IVW</td>
<td>0.066 (0.049)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.97 to 1.18)</td>
<td>0.175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>Hospitalised COVID-19</td>
<td>WM</td>
<td>0.090 (0.066)</td>
<td>1.09 (0.96 to 1.24)</td>
<td>0.171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>Hospitalised COVID-19</td>
<td>MR-Egger</td>
<td>0.279 (0.269)</td>
<td>1.32 (0.78 to 2.24)</td>
<td>0.301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>Critical COVID-19</td>
<td>IVW</td>
<td>0.085 (0.083)</td>
<td>1.09 (0.92 to 1.28)</td>
<td>0.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>Critical COVID-19</td>
<td>WM</td>
<td>−0.007 (0.100)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.82 to 1.21)</td>
<td>0.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>Critical COVID-19</td>
<td>MR-Egger</td>
<td>0.228 (0.452)</td>
<td>1.26 (0.52 to 3.04)</td>
<td>0.615</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b, effect size; CI, confidence interval; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; IVW, inverse variance weighted; MDD, major depressive disorder; MR, Mendelian randomisation; OR, odds ratio; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; SE, standard error; WM, weighted median.
Causal associations between MDD and COVID-19. The upper panel shows the causal effects of MDD on COVID-19 outcomes. The lower panel shows the causal effects of COVID-19 outcomes on MDD. The trait on the x-axis denotes exposure, the trait on the y-axis denotes outcome and each cross point represents an instrumental variable. The lines denote the effect sizes (b) of an exposure on an outcome. COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; IVW, inverse variance weighted; MDD, major depressive disorder; MR, Mendelian randomisation; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism; WM, weighted median.

Knowledge-based analysis

Literature-based pathway analysis identified a total of 14 mediating molecules connecting MDD and COVID-19 (figure 3A). Each relation was supported by ≥3 references. A set of 10 molecules quantitatively changed in MDD and enhanced the development of COVID-19, including nine genes (ACE, ALB, CCL2, CRP, IL10, IL17A, IL2, IL6 and TNF) and substance P (highlighted in red in figure 3A). We called these nine genes ‘risk mediating genes’. On the other hand, a total of four MDD-driven genetic changes suppress the development of COVID-19, including DPP4, IL7, MTOR and P2RX7 (protective mediating genes, highlighted in green in figure 3A).

PPI analysis using the STRING framework showed that the nine risk-mediating proteins formed a tightly interconnected network (figure 3B). KEGG-based pathway enrichment analysis in FUMA showed that the set of nine genes was enriched in immunity-related molecular functions.

Table 3  Causal effects of COVID-19 on MDD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>b (SE)</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SARS-CoV-2 infection</td>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>IVW</td>
<td>0.008 (0.021)</td>
<td>1.01 (0.97 to 1.05)</td>
<td>0.691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARS-CoV-2 infection</td>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>WM</td>
<td>0.008 (0.025)</td>
<td>1.01 (0.96 to 1.06)</td>
<td>0.766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalised COVID-19</td>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>MR-Egger</td>
<td>−0.063 (0.034)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.88 to 1.00)</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalised COVID-19</td>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>IVW</td>
<td>0.001 (0.010)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.98 to 1.02)</td>
<td>0.955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalised COVID-19</td>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>WM</td>
<td>0.003 (0.011)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.98 to 1.03)</td>
<td>0.777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalised COVID-19</td>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>MR-Egger</td>
<td>−0.009 (0.019)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.96 to 1.03)</td>
<td>0.643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical COVID-19</td>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>IVW</td>
<td>−0.001 (0.006)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.99 to 1.01)</td>
<td>0.859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical COVID-19</td>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>WM</td>
<td>0.002 (0.007)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.99 to 1.02)</td>
<td>0.764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical COVID-19</td>
<td>MDD</td>
<td>MR-Egger</td>
<td>−0.002 (0.011)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.98 to 1.02)</td>
<td>0.839</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b, effect size; CI, confidence interval; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; IVW, inverse variance weighted; MDD, major depressive disorder; MR, Mendelian randomisation; OR, odds ratio; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; SE, standard error; WM, weighted median.

Figure 3  Molecular pathways connecting MDD and COVID-19. (A) Molecular pathways from MDD to COVID-19. Promoting effects are highlighted in red, and inhibitory effects are highlighted in green. Quantitative genetic changes driven by MDD exert more promoting than inhibitory effects on COVID-19. (B) Protein-protein interactions among the 24 COVID-19-promoting genes. Line sizes are proportional to the combined scores of the interactions. (C) KEGG-based pathway analysis of risk-mediating genes. COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; MDD, major depressive disorder.

By using literature-based analysis, we explored potential mechanisms underlying the connection between MDD and COVID-19. Notably, the SARS-CoV-2 virus is capable of causing a cytokine storm, with the same primary players as in the neuroinflammatory phenotype seen in patients with MDD, such as tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-α and interleukin (IL)-6. In particular, IL-6 induces a variety of acute-phase proteins and worsens the inflammatory response, and its high expression increases mucus production and aggravates disease in patients with COVID-19. Even more intriguing are the clinical observations that antidepressants can alleviate the symptoms of acute infection with SARS-CoV-2 and a constellation of symptoms observed in patients with long COVID syndrome. The most pronounced anti-inflammatory action was detected in agonists of sigma-1 receptors of the endoplasmic reticulum, particularly fluvoxamine. In addition, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor antidepressants are capable of decreasing the production of ceramides and, therefore, suppressing the activity of acid sphingomyelinase, which is a SARS-CoV-2 facilitating host factor. Certain antidepressants also rebalance the kinin-kallikrein system by

DISCUSSION

Main findings

This study aimed to explore the potential causal relationships between MDD and the COVID-19 outcomes. Our results indicated that MDD was associated with a 5% increased risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection (OR=1.05).

Recent pandemic surveys revealed that the prevalence of depressive symptoms in COVID-19 survivors is approximately 21%–45%. However, our study did not support a causal effect of COVID-19 on MDD in the context of genetic variation. It is well known that COVID-19-associated problems are vital mental stressors. Therefore, MDD may be primarily triggered by psychological stress associated with COVID-19 rather than by genetic liability to COVID-19. Together, COVID-19 and MDD can form a vicious circle, aggravating the risk for each other.
potentiating the anti-inflammatory effects of bradykinin receptor B2 (BDKRB2) and suppressing the proinflammatory signal of bradykinin receptor B1 (BDKRB1).³⁵

In summary, it seems that MDD and COVID-19 share a pathophysiological feature of an enhanced inflammatory background, which may explain the link between the two diseases. Notably, enhanced inflammation is common in people with mental illness, indicating that they may be at a higher risk of COVID-19 infection and poorer outcomes.⁴⁶ ⁴⁷ Therefore, we recommend that people with MDD should be made aware of their increased susceptibility to contracting symptomatic infection with COVID-19 and its adverse outcomes.

Limitations
Our study has several limitations. The study was conducted using datasets from the European population. Therefore, our results may not be suitable for other populations. Only genetic liabilities to COVID-19 and MDD were considered, with no regard to the confounding effects of other factors, such as post-traumatic stress disorder and insomnia, which have been shown to be closely correlated with MDD and COVID-19.⁴⁸-⁵¹

Implications
In summary, our study supports that MDD may augment the susceptibility to COVID-19, primarily through the priming of neuroinflammatory cascades. Our findings emphasize the need to increase social support and improve the networks of mental health interventions for people with mood disorders during the pandemic.
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