**General Psychiatry** 

## Barriers to WHO Mental Health Action Plan updates to expand family and caregiver involvement in mental healthcare

Jens Peter Eckardt

**To cite:** Eckardt JP. Barriers to WHO Mental Health Action Plan updates to expand family and caregiver involvement in mental healthcare. *General Psychiatry* 2022;**35**:e100784. doi:10.1136/gpsych-2022-100784

Received 11 February 2022 Accepted 02 March 2022 In 2021, the 74th World Health Assembly endorsed updates to the WHO's Comprehensive Mental Health Action Plan extending to 2030, including revised indicators to measure progress towards reaching defined targets and expanded implementation options for various facets of the plan. Some of the latter are to foster the empowerment and involvement of persons with mental disorders, their families and caregivers in mental healthcare and address the mental well-being of children and carers when a family member with severe illnesses presents for treatment at health services. Other implementation options suggest providing information to people with mental disorders, their families and carers about the causes and potential impacts of the illness, treatment, recovery options and healthy lifestyle behaviours to improve overall health and well-being. The Action Plan also covers related options such as intervening to manage family crises and providing care and support to families and carers in primary care and other service levels.

Decades of cumulative research findings<sup>1–10</sup> have provided evidence demonstrating the effectiveness of family and caregiver support for those with mental illness; this has led to worldwide policy development on caregivers and families in mental health services. Yet, despite these developments, the implementation of family interventions and caregiver involvement for mental healthcare—at the service and clinical delivery level and on a global scale—still suffers significant obstacles and remains alarmingly inadequate. As a result, family and caregiver involvement falls far below the recommended levels even though the evidence of beneficial outcomes for both patients and caregivers has been known for decades.

Several questions require consideration: (1) Why is the task of implementing greater family involvement often overlooked, poorly understood and largely unaddressed in clinical practice? (2) Why is family involvement in mental disorder treatment plans seldom incorporated worldwide? (3) How can we reach those who need it most—persons with mental illness, their families and caregivers in areas throughout the world where psychiatric care is inaccessible or unavailable?

In 2021, the second year of the global pandemic, several studies worldwide indicated an urgent need to increase the involvement of families at all levels of psychiatric care. These studies, including some from Norway, Denmark and Singapore, <sup>11–14</sup> emphasised the need for more research focusing on identifying and implementing core aspects of caregiver involvement and family engagement in routine psychiatric care.

A 2014 systematic review by Eassom et al<sup>15</sup> indicated that implementing family participation in psychiatric care carries challenges beyond those generally associated with translating research into practice. Incorporating a model of care that regularly involves families may require a shift in work culture and organisation (eg, changes in work routines, approaches, ethos and practices) until it finally becomes accepted and integrated by clinical teams and management. The barriers to family and caregiver involvement in mental healthcare identified in this review also resonate closely with others found in the scientific literature. 16 A 2017 systematic review by Selick et al<sup>17</sup> investigated the barriers and facilitators to delivering family-based interventions. They identified four key themes related to implementing family support: family/client interest and readiness, family ability to access support, family support needs/preferences



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

Research Unit, Bedre Psykiatri, Copenhagen, Denmark

Correspondence to

Jens Peter Eckardt; jp-mail@hotmail.com



and support for staff. Whereas the first three themes related to the uptake of families, the last theme focused on programme implementation. Selick concluded that several core elements generally recognised as critical to successful implementation include staff training and supervision, leadership, feedback for programme improvement, and sufficient time, space, materials and staff.

A systematic search into primary studies addressing diverse stakeholder perspectives on barriers to family involvement by Landeweer *et al*<sup>18</sup> reported differences in the interpretation, perception and experience of various barriers. The results indicated that individuals with mental illness, their families and mental health professionals varied in their understanding, assumptions, interests, needs and expectations of family and others' roles in care because of differing contexts, experiences and backgrounds. The authors concluded that these differences could themselves be barriers to family and caregiver involvement if the stakeholders do not discuss them and acknowledge the possible differences in perception.

Overall, substantial research supports the existence of numerous varied barriers that prevent increased family and caregiver involvement in the provision of mental healthcare. These originate at all levels—patients, family members, professional staff and mental health authorities—and reflect the major obstacles blocking increased implementation of family and caregiver participation in routine psychiatric clinical practice.

Due to the complexity of these ongoing, multifaceted difficulties, much work remains to be done if WHO's plans for increased family engagement and caregiver intervention are realised by 2030. Lucksted et al<sup>p</sup> have emphasised the paradox of robust evidence showing the effectiveness of family intervention versus persistently low rates of its execution. Furthermore, they argued that likely no single implementation strategy will suffice. Indeed, given the decades of consistent results of similar studies, barriers at all levels persist in implementing tasks, research and strategies related to expanded family and caregiver participation in mental healthcare. An undeniable observation is that the vast majority of families and caregivers of those with mental illness still do not have access to family-related interventions or caregiver guidance due to the widespread unfamiliarity and other impediments in fulfilling the necessary implementation tasks.<sup>19</sup>

Some have argued that expanding family and caregiver involvement in mental healthcare requires tailoring each situation across different levels due to the considerable variations among countries, regions, cultures, clinical practices, management styles and organisational ethos. More specifically, Landeweer *et al*<sup>18</sup> suggest awareness and dialogue about various stakeholders' experience of the barriers are needed to comprehend better the dynamics that hamper the uptake and quality of family involvement. Only then could mutual understanding be fostered, collaboration enhanced and possible conflicts of interest be resolved.

In conclusion, little change has occurred in developing and disseminating expanded family interventions and caregiver engagement in mental healthcare globally. Further change is unlikely as long as significant implementation challenges remain at all levels. Despite solid advocacy recommendations from WHO and abundant evidence showing the effectiveness of family and caregiver involvement, more consistency is required in reporting implementation strategies and barriers. Moreover, the news of this failure to implement suggested programmes is not unfamiliar to governments worldwide. For example, the most recent Mental Health Atlas report by WHO in 2021<sup>20</sup> shows significant gaps globally between the existence of policies, plans and laws, the implementation and monitoring of these, and the allocation of resources. At the opposite end of the spectrum at the primary healthcare level, similar breaches are seen in implementing greater involvement of families and caregivers.

There is little doubt that a large population of families and caregivers would benefit from the proposed WHO implementation options to improve mental healthcare. Unfortunately, they will not receive this aid soon. To continue highlighting the need for improved participation of families and caregivers in providing mental healthcare, more scientific expertise, political will and grassroots prowess are needed. Without actionable steps, WHO recommendations do not automatically translate into practice. A targeted focus at all levels of the mental care system addressing the notable barriers to implementing expanded family and caregiver involvement would expedite this noteworthy and vital evidence-based practice. Everyone would benefit.

**Contributors** I herewith assure that I wrote the present article independently. I take public responsibility for the content of the manuscript.

**Funding** The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

## **REFERENCES**

- 1 World Health Organization. Comprehensive Mental Health Action Plan 2013–2030. Geneva: WHO, 2021.
- 2 McFarlane WR, Dixon L, Lukens E, et al. Family psychoeducation and schizophrenia: a review of the literature. J Marital Fam Ther 2003;29:223–45.
- 3 Murray-Swank AB, Dixon L. Family psychoeducation as an evidencebased practice. CNS Spectr 2004;9:905–12.
- 4 Dixon L, McFarlane WR, Lefley H, et al. Evidence-based practices for services to families of people with psychiatric disabilities. *Psychiatr Serv* 2001;52:903–10.
- 5 Lucksted A, McFarlane W, Downing D, et al. Recent developments in family psychoeducation as an evidence-based practice. J Marital Fam Ther 2012;38:101–21.



- 6 Yesufu-Udechuku A, Harrison B, Mayo-Wilson E, et al. Interventions to improve the experience of caring for people with severe mental illness: systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Psychiatry 2015;206:268–74.
- 7 Bighelli I, Rodolico A, García-Mieres H, et al. Psychosocial and psychological interventions for relapse prevention in schizophrenia: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2021:8:969–80.
- 8 Ashcroft K, Kim E, Elefant E, et al. Meta-Analysis of Caregiver-Directed psychosocial interventions for schizophrenia. Community Ment Health J 2018:54:983–91.
- 9 Pharoah F, Mari J, Rathbone J. Family intervention for schizophrenia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2010:CD000088.
- 10 Fadden G. Implementation of family interventions in routine clinical practice following staff training programs: a major cause for concern. J Mental Health 1997;6:599–612.
- Hestmark L, Heiervang KS, Pedersen R, et al. Family involvement practices for persons with psychotic disorders in community mental health centres - a cross-sectional fidelity-based study. BMC Psychiatry 2021;21:285.
- 12 Svendsen ML, Ellegaard T, Jeppesen KA, et al. Family involvement and patient-experienced improvement and satisfaction with care: a nationwide cross-sectional study in Danish psychiatric hospitals. BMC Psychiatry 2021;21:190.

- 13 Ong HS, Fernandez PA, Lim HK. Family engagement as part of managing patients with mental illness in primary care. Singapore Med J 2021;62:213–9.
- 14 Maybery D, Jaffe IC, Cuff R, et al. Mental health service engagement with family and carers: what practices are fundamental? BMC Health Serv Res 2021:21:1073.
- 15 Eassom E, Giacco D, Dirik A, et al. Implementing family involvement in the treatment of patients with psychosis: a systematic review of facilitating and hindering factors. BMJ Open 2014;4:e006108.
- 16 Bucci S, Berry K, Barrowclough C, et al. Family interventions in psychosis: a review of the evidence and barriers to implementation. Aust Psychol 2016;51:62–8.
- 17 Selick A, Durbin J, Vu N, et al. Barriers and facilitators to implementing family support and education in early psychosis intervention programmes: a systematic review. Early Interv Psychiatry 2017;11:365–74.
- 18 Landeweer E, Molewijk B, Hem MH, et al. Worlds apart? A scoping review addressing different stakeholder perspectives on barriers to family involvement in the care for persons with severe mental illness. BMC Health Serv Res 2017;17:349.
- 19 Peters DH, Adam T, Alonge O, et al. Implementation research: what it is and how to do it. BMJ 2013;347:f6753.
- 20 WHO. *Mental Health Atlas 2020*. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2020.



Jens Peter Eckardt obtained a master's degree in Social Sciences in Social Work from Aalborg University, Denmark in 2011 and a bachelor's degree in Social Work from University College Copenhagen in Denmark in 2008. Over the past 12 years, he has been a chief analyst in psychiatry and mental health at Bedre Psykiatri Research Unit (the Danish National Association of Caregivers of people with mental illnesses). His main interests focus on the field of caregiver research, analysis, and policy work, and he has written several articles on these topics. Currently, he is focusing on major surveys regarding the needs of caregivers, their experiences, and their satisfaction with mental health services. A future project also aims to identify risk groups for caregiver involvement in relation to patients' age and gender, as well as indications of the underlying causes. Currently, he is a counselling member of the Danish Health Authority's Committee for Psychiatry, The Danish National Partnership for Suicide Prevention under the Danish Health Authority, and the Follow-up Group for Strengthened Quality in Social Psychiatry under The National Board of Social Services.